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#### Abstract

We prove there exists a function $f(k)$ such that for every $f(k)$-connected graph $G$ and for every edge $e \in E(G)$, there exists an induced cycle $C$ containing $e$ such that $G-E(C)$ is $k$-connected. This proves a weakening of a conjecture of Lovász due to Kriesell.
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## 1 Introduction

The following conjecture is due to Lovász (see [14]):
Conjecture 1.1 There exists a function $f=f(k)$ such that the following holds. For every $f(k)$ connected graph $G$ and two vertices $s$ and $t$ in $G$, there exists a path $P$ with endpoints $s$ and $t$ such that $G-V(P)$ is $k$-connected.

Conjecture 1.1 can alternately be phrased as following: there exists a function $f(k)$ such that for every $f(k)$-connected graph $G$ and every edge $e$ of $G$, there exists a cycle $C$ containing $e$ such that $G-V(C)$ is $k$-connected. Lovász also conjectured [9] that every $(k+3)$-connected graph contains a cycle $C$ such that $G-V(C)$ is $k$-connected. This was proven by Thomassen [13].

Conjecture 1.1 is known to be true in several small cases. In the case $k=1$, a path $P$ connecting two vertices $s$ and $t$ such that $G-V(P)$ is connected is called a non-separating path. It follows from a theorem of Tutte that any 3 -connected graph contains a non-separating path connecting any two vertices, and consequently, $f(1)=3$. When $k=2$, it was independently shown by Chen, Gould, and Yu [1] and Kriesell [6] that $f(2)=5$. In [1], the authors also show that in a $(22 k+2)$-connected graph, there exist $k$ internally disjoint non-separating paths connecting any pair of vertices. In [5], Kawarabayashi, Lee, and Yu obtain a complete structural characterization of which 4-connected graphs do not have a path linking two given vertices whose deletion leaves the graph 2-connected.

[^0]In a variant of the problem, one can attempt to delete the edges of the path instead of deleting all the vertices. Mader proved [11] that every $k$-connected graph with minimum degree $k+2$ contains a cycle $C$ such that deleting the edges of $C$ leaves the graph $k$-connected. Jackson independently proved the same result when $k=2$ in [4]. As a corollary to a stronger result, Lemos and Oxley have shown [8] that in a 4-connected graph $G$, for any edge $e$ there exists a cycle $C$ containing $e$ such that $G-E(C)$ is 2-connected.

Kriesell has postulated the following natural weakening of Conjecture 1.1
Conjecture 1.2 (Kriesell, [7]) There exists a function $f(k)$ such that for every $f(k)$-connected graph $G$ and any two vertices $s$ and $t$ of $G$, there exists an induced path $P$ with ends $s$ and $t$ such that $G-E(P)$ is $k$-connected.

We answer this question in the affirmative with the following theorem.
Theorem 1.3 There exists a function $f(k)=O\left(k^{4}\right)$ such that the following holds: for any two vertices $s$ and $t$ of an $f(k)$-connected graph $G$, there exists an induced $s-t$ path $P$ such that $G-E(P)$ is $k$-connected.

Corollary 1.4 For every $(f(k)+1)$-connected graph $G$ and for every edge e of $G$, there exists an induced cycle $C$ containing e such that $G-E(C)$ is $k$-connected.

In the proof of Theorem 1.3, we will at several points need to force the existence of highly connected subgraphs using the fact that our graph will have large minimum degree. A theorem of Mader implies the following.

Theorem 1.5 (Mader, [10]) Every graph of minimum degree $4 k$ contains a $k$-connected subgraph.
In addition to simply requiring a highly connected subgraph, we will require the subgraph have small boundary. The boundary of a subgraph $H$ of a graph $G$, denoted $\partial_{G}(H)$, is the set of vertices in $V(H)$ that have a neighbor in $V(G)-V(H)$. We use the following related result of Thomassen. By strengthening the minimum degree condition in Theorem 1.5, we can find a highly connected subgraph that further has a small boundary.

Theorem 1.6 (Thomassen, [15]) Let $k$ be any natural number, and let $G$ be any graph of minimum degree $>4 k^{2}$. Then $G$ contains a $k$-connected subgraph with more than $4 k^{2}$ vertices whose boundary has at most $2 k^{2}$ vertices.

Given a path $P$ in a graph, and two vertices $x$ and $y$ on $P$, we denote by $x P y$ the subpath of $P$ starting at vertex $x$ and ending at $y$. A separation of a graph $G$ is a pair $(A, B)$ of subsets of vertices of $G$ such that $A \cup B$ is equal to $V(G)$, and for every edge $e=u v$ of $G$, either both $u$ and $v$ are contained in $A$ or both are contained in $B$. The order of a separation $(A, B)$ is $|A \cap B|$. Where not otherwise stated, we follow the notation of [2].

We will need the following results on systems of disjoint paths with pre-specified endpoints.
Definition A linkage is a graph where every connected component is a path.
A linkage problem in a graph $G$ is a set of pairs of vertices in $G$. We will typically write the linkage problem $\mathcal{L}$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{L}=\left\{\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{s_{k}, t_{k}\right\}\right\} .
$$

A solution to the linkage problem $\mathcal{L}=\left\{\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{s_{k}, t_{k}\right\}\right\}$ is a set of pair-wise internally disjoint paths $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ such that the ends of $P_{i}$ are $s_{i}$ and $t_{i}$, and furthermore, if $x \in V\left(P_{i}\right) \cap V\left(P_{j}\right)$ for some distinct indices $i$ and $j$, then $x=s_{i}$ or $x=t_{i}$. A graph $G$ is strongly $k$-linked if every linkage problem $\mathcal{L}=\left\{\left\{s_{1}, t_{1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{s_{k}, t_{k}\right\}\right\}$ consisting of $k$ pairs in $G$ has a solution. The graph $G$ is $k$-linked if every linkage problem with $k$ pair-wise disjoint pairs of vertices has a solution. We utilize the following theorem:

Theorem 1.7 ([12]) Every $10 k$-connected graph is $k$-linked.
Any $k$-linked graph on at least $2 k$ vertices is strongly $k$-linked. Thus the following statement follows trivially from Theorem 1.7.

Corollary 1.8 Every $10 k$-connected graph is strongly $k$-linked.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We prove the theorem with the function $f(k)=1600 k^{4}+k+2$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a $2 k$-connected subgraph of $G$ such that $G-E(\mathcal{S})$ contains an induced $s$ - $t$ path. To see that such a subgraph $\mathcal{S}$ exists, consider an $s$ - $t$ path $P_{0}$ of minimum length. We note that $P_{0}$ is an induced path, and, further, that $G-E\left(P_{0}\right)$ has minimum degree $f(k)-3>8 k$. By Theorem $1.5, G-E\left(P_{0}\right)$ contains the desired $2 k$-connected subgraph $\mathcal{S}$.

Our goal in the proof of Theorem 1.3 will be to pick an $s$ - $t$ path $P$ which uses no edges of $\mathcal{S}$ and has the following property. For every vertex $x$ of $G$, in the graph $G-E(P)$ the vertex $x$ has $k$ internally disjoint paths to distinct vertices in $\mathcal{S}$. This will suffice to show that $G-E(P)$ is $k$ connected. To find such a path, we pick $P$ to maximize the number of vertices with $k$ paths to $\mathcal{S}$, and subject to that, to maximize the number of vertices with $k-1$ paths to $\mathcal{S}$, and so on. This leads to the following definition. For any induced $s-t$ path $P$ such that $E(P)$ is disjoint from $E(\mathcal{S})$, we define the set:
$S_{k}=S_{k}(P)=\{v \mid \exists k$ internally disjoint paths in $G-E(P)$ from $v$ to $V(\mathcal{S})$ with distinct ends in $V(\mathcal{S})\}$.
For $i$ between 0 and $k-1$ we define sets $S_{i}$ where a vertex $v$ is in $S_{i}$ if $v$ is joined to $V(\mathcal{S})$ by $i$ paths in $G-E(P)$ disjoint except at $v$ and not $i+1$ such paths.

We choose an induced $s-t$ path $P$ disjoint from $E(\mathcal{S})$ so as to lexicographically maximize

$$
\left(S_{k}, S_{k-1}, \ldots, S_{0}\right)
$$

It now suffices to show that for this $P,\left|S_{k}\right|=|V(G)|$. We let $\min =\min \left\{i \mid S_{i} \neq \emptyset\right\}$. We will show that if $\min <k$, there exists an induced path $P^{*}$ which avoids $E(\mathcal{S})$ and satisfies the following properties:
(a) for all $v$ in $S_{j}(P), j>\min , v \in S_{j^{*}}\left(P^{*}\right)$ for some $j^{*} \geq j$,
(b) there exists a $v$ in $S_{\text {min }}$ which is in $S_{j^{*}}\left(P^{*}\right)$ for some $j^{*}>\min$.

This contradicts our choice of $P$.
To find $P^{*}$, observe that there exists a separation $(A, B)$ of $G-E(P)$ of order min with $V(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq A$ and $v \in B-A$. Assume we have chosen such a separation to minimize $|A|$. Let $X$ denote the set $A \cap B$. It follows from our choice of $\min$ that every vertex of $B-A$ is contained in $S_{\text {min }}$.

Consider the subgraph of $G$ induced by $B-A$. We note that $G[B-A]$ has minimum degree at least $f(k)-k-2=1600 k^{4}$. By Theorem 1.6, there exists a $20 k^{2}$-connected subgraph $F$ in $G[B-A]$ of size at least $1600 k^{4}$ which has a boundary of size at most $800 k^{4}$.

By our choice of $\min$, there exist $|X|$ disjoint paths from $X$ to $F$ in the graph $G-E(P)$ restricted to the set $B$. We choose $|X|$ such paths internally disjoint from $F$. Let $X^{\prime}$ be the endpoints of the paths in $F$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ be the linkage problem $\left\{\{x, y\} \mid x, y \in X^{\prime}, x \neq y\right\}$ consisting of every pair of vertices of $X^{\prime}$.

For every vertex $x \in X, x \in S_{t}$ for some value of $t=t(x)$. There exist paths $Q_{1}^{x}, \ldots, Q_{t(x)}^{x}$ in $G-E(P)$ disjoint except for the vertex $x$ each having one endpoint in $\mathcal{S}$ and the other endpoint equal to $x$. Let $Q$ be a path in $G$ with endpoints $u$ and $v$. A vertex $x \in V(F) \cap V(Q)$ is $Q$-extremal if either $u Q x$ or $x Q v$ contains no vertex of $V(F)$ other than the vertex $x$. We let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the set of paths $\left\{Q_{i}^{x} \mid x \in X, 1 \leq i \leq t(x)\right\}$. Note, two distinct $Q_{1}, Q_{2} \in \mathcal{Q}$ are not necessarily disjoint. A vertex $x \in V(F)$ is $\mathcal{Q}$-extremal if there exists a path $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $x$ is $Q$-extremal. Let $Y^{\prime}$ be the set of $\mathcal{Q}$-extremal vertices in $V(F)$ ), and let $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ be the natural linkage problem induced by $\mathcal{Q}$ :

$$
\mathcal{L}_{2}=\left\{\{x, y\} \mid x, y \in Y^{\prime} \text { and } \exists Q \in \mathcal{Q} \text { such that } x \text { and } y \text { are } Q \text {-extremal }\right\}
$$

Observe that while a vertex in $X$ may have many neighbors in $V(F)-\partial_{G[B-A]}(F)$, the only edges of $G$ with one end in $A-B$ and the other end in $V(F)-\partial_{G[B-A]}(F)$ are contained in $P$. It follows that either $X^{\prime}$ or $Y^{\prime}$ may contain vertices of $V(F)-\partial_{G[B-A]}(F)$. See Figure 1.
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Figure 1: An example of the separation $(A, B)$ with the subgraphs $\mathcal{S}$ and $F$ and possible sets $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$.

Recall that the size of the boundary of $F$ is at most $800 k^{4}$ in $G[B-A]$. It follows from the connectivity of $G$ that there exists a matching of size three from $V(F)-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}-\partial_{G[B-A]}(F)$ to $A-X$ using only edges of $P$. Let $a a^{\prime}, b b^{\prime}$ and $c c^{\prime}$ be three edges forming such a matching where the vertices $a, b$, and $c$ lay in $V(F)-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}-\partial_{G[B-A]}(F)$. By our choice of $(A, B)$ to minimize $|A|$, there exist $|X|+1$ disjoint paths from $X \cup\left\{a^{\prime}\right\}$ to $V(\mathcal{S})$ in $G-E(P)$ (and similarly for $X \cup\left\{b^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left.X \cup\left\{c^{\prime}\right\}\right)$.

By Theorem 1.7, the graph $F$ is strongly $2 k^{2}$-linked. Fix vertices $s^{*}$ and $s^{\prime}$ as follows. Let $s^{*}$ be a vertex in $V(F)-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}$ such that $s^{*}$ has a neighbor $s^{\prime}$ on $P$ in $G$ and furthermore, assume that $s^{*}$ and $s^{\prime}$ are chosen so that $s^{\prime}$ is as close to $s$ on $P$ as possible. Similarly, we define $t^{*}$ and $t^{\prime}$ such that $t^{*}$ is a vertex of $V(F)-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}$ with a neighbor $t^{\prime}$ as close to $t$ as possible. The vertices $s^{*}$ and $t^{*}$ are well defined since $a, b$, and $c$ all have a neighbor on $P$ in $G$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $b \neq s^{*}, t^{*}$. Let $v$ be a vertex of $V(F)-X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}-\left\{s^{*}, t^{*}\right\}$. Now consider the linkage problem

$$
\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{1} \cup \mathcal{L}_{2} \cup\left\{\{v, x\} \mid x \in X^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{\{v, b\},\left\{s^{*}, t^{*}\right\}\right\} .
$$

The linkage problem $\mathcal{L}$ has at most $\binom{k}{2}+k(k-1)+k+2 \leq 2 k^{2}$ pairs, and so there exists a solution $\mathcal{R}$ in $F$. Let $R \in \mathcal{R}$ be the path with ends $s^{*}$ and $t^{*}$. We now define $P^{*}$ to be the shortest induced subpath of $s P s^{\prime} s^{*} R t^{*} t^{\prime} P t$. We claim that $P^{*}$ is the desired path violating our choice of $P$. Let $S_{i}^{*}=S_{i}\left(P^{*}\right)$ for $i=0, \ldots, k$.

To complete the proof, it now suffices to verify the following claim.
Claim $1\left(S_{k}^{*}, \ldots, S_{0}^{*}\right)$ is lexicographically greater than $\left(S_{k}, \ldots, S_{0}\right)$
Proof. We begin with the observation that by construction and the choice of $s^{*}$ and $t^{*}$, there exists a subpath $\bar{R}$ of $R$ with ends $\bar{s}$ and $\bar{t}$ such that $P^{*}=s P s^{\prime} \bar{s} \bar{R} \bar{t} t^{\prime} P t$. Furthermore, it follows that $E(P[A]) \supseteq E\left(P^{*}[A]\right)$ and $E\left(P^{*}\right)-E(P) \subseteq E(F) \cup\left\{s^{\prime} \bar{s}, t^{\prime} \bar{t}\right\}$. It follows that $E\left(P^{*}\right) \cap E(\mathcal{S})=\emptyset$ since the edges $s^{\prime} \bar{s}$ and $t^{\prime} t$ each have at least one endpoint in $F$ and $F$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are disjoint. .

For any vertex $u \in V(G)$ such that $u \in S_{i}$ for some $i>\min$, it suffices now to show that $u$ has $i$ internally disjoint paths from $u$ to distinct vertices in $\mathcal{S}$ to imply that $u \in S_{j}^{*}$ for some $j \geq i$. To see this, first observe that the vertex $u$ must be contained in $A$. Assume as a case that $u \in A-X$. In the graph $G-E(P)$, there exist $i$ internally disjoint paths $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{i}$ each with a distinct end in $\mathcal{S}$ and the other endpoint equal to $u$. Then any path $N_{l}$ with at most one vertex in $X$ does not contain any edge of $(G-E(P))[B]$ and consequently does not use any edges of $P^{*}$. Any path $N_{l}$ that does use at least two vertices of $X$ has a first and last vertex in $X$. There exists a linkage from $X$ to $X^{\prime}$ avoiding the edges of $P^{*}$, and consequently a path in $\mathcal{R}$ connecting the ends in $X^{\prime}$ avoiding edges of $P^{*}$. It follows that $u \in S_{j}^{*}$ for some $j \geq i$.

We now assume $u \in X$. One path from $u$ to $\mathcal{S}$ can be found as above by following the linkage from $X$ to $X^{\prime}$ and using a path in the solution to the linkage problem $\mathcal{L}_{1}$. However, as many as $i$ of the paths ensuring that $u \in S_{i}$ may have used edges contained in $B-A$. Thus the solution to the linkage problem $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ will ensure that $u$ has $i$ internally disjoint paths to distinct vertices in $\mathcal{S}$ in $G-E\left(P^{*}\right)$. Let $Q_{1}^{u}, \ldots, Q_{i}^{u}$ be the internally disjoint paths linking $u$ to distinct vertices of $\mathcal{S}$ contained in $\mathcal{Q}$. As in the previous paragraph, any path that uses at most one vertex of $V(F)$ will still exist in $G-E\left(P^{*}\right)$. If $Q_{l}^{u}$ uses at least two vertices of $V(F)$, then by the fact that $\mathcal{R}$ contains a solution to the linkage problem $\mathcal{L}_{2}$, there exists a path of $\mathcal{R}$ rerouting $Q_{l}^{u}$ to avoid any edge of $P^{*}$.

We now will see that the vertex $v \in V(F)$ lies in $S_{j}^{*}$ for some $j>\min$. The vertex $v$ has $|X|$ internally disjoint paths in $F$ to $X^{\prime}$ that avoid $E\left(P^{*}\right)$ and an additional path to the vertex $b$. Then $X^{\prime}$ is linked to $X$ avoiding $E(P)$, and as a consequence, avoiding $E\left(P^{*}\right)$. Furthermore, by construction, the edge $b b^{\prime}$ is not contained in $E\left(P^{*}\right)$. Finally, our choice of separation $(A, B)$ ensures that $X \cup\left\{b^{\prime}\right\}$ sends $|X|+1$ disjoint paths to $V(\mathcal{S})$ avoiding edges of $P^{*}$ to prove that $v \in S_{j}^{*}$ for some $j>\min$. This completes the proof of the claim.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 3 An Approach to Conjecture 1.1

We make the following conjecture:
Conjecture 3.1 There exists a function $f=f(k)$ such that the following holds. Let $G$ be an $f(k)$ connected graph and let $s, t$ and $v$ be three distinct vertices of $G$. Then $G$ contains an $s-t$ path $P$ and a $k$-connected subgraph $H$ such that $v \in V(H)$ and furthermore, $H$ and $P$ are disjoint.

We will see that Lovász' conjecture in fact follows from Conjecture 3.1
Theorem 3.2 If Conjecture 3.1 is true, then Conjecture 1.1 is true.
Proof. Let $f(k)$ be a function satisfying Conjecture 3.1. We show the existence of a function $g(k)$ satisfying Conjecture 1.1, where $g(k)$ will be any function sufficiently large to make the necessary inequalities of the proof true.

Let $s$ and $t$ be two fixed vertices of a $g(k)$-connected graph $G$, and let $F$ be a maximal $k$ connected subgraph that does not separate $s$ and $t$. To see that such a subgraph $F$ must exist, consider a shortest path $P$ from $s$ to $t$. Every vertex not contained in $P$ can have at most three neighbors on $P$, and so the minimum degree of $G-V(P)$ must be strictly greater than $4 k$. Theorem 1.5 implies that there exists a $k$-connected subgraph that does not separate $s$ and $t$.

A block is a maximal 2-connected subgraph. Every connected graph $G$ has a block decomposition $(T, \mathcal{B})$ where $T$ is a tree and $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{v} \mid v \in V(T)\right\}$ is a collection of subsets of vertices of $G$ indexed by the vertices of $T$ such that the following hold:
i. for every $v \in V(T), G\left[B_{v}\right]$ is either an edge or a block of $G$,
ii. for every edge $u v$ of $T,\left|B_{v} \cap B_{u}\right|=1$, and
iii. every edge of $G$ is contained in $B_{v}$ for some $v \in V(T)$.

Observe that for any edge $u v \in E(T)$, the vertex in $B_{u} \cap B_{v}$ is a cut vertex of the graph. See [2] for more details.

Consider a block decomposition $(T, \mathcal{B})$ of the component of $G-F$ containing $s$ and $t$. Assume there exists a leaf $v$ of $T$ such that such that $B_{v}-u$ does not contain either $s$ or $t$ (where the vertex $u$ separates $B_{v}-\{u\}$ from the rest of $\left.G-F\right)$. Then deleting any vertex of $B_{v}-\{u\}$ does not separate $s$ and $t$. If any such vertex $x$ in $B_{v}-\{u\}$ had $k$ neighbors in $F$, then $F \cup x$ would be a $k$-connected graph that does not separate $s$ and $t$, contradicting our choice of $F$. It follows that $G\left[B_{v}-\{u\}\right]$ has minimum degree at least $g(k)-k$. We assume $g(k)$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$
g(k)-k \geq 4 k^{2} .
$$

By Theorem 1.6, we conclude $G\left[B_{v}-u\right]$ has a $k$-connected subgraph $H$ whose boundary has at most $2 k^{2}$ vertices. It follows that there exists a matching of size at least $k$ from $V(H)-\partial_{G\left[B_{v}\right]}(H)$ to $V(F)$ in $G$. This is a contradiction, since then $H \cup F$ is a larger $k$-connected subgraph that does not separate $s$ from $t$.

By the same argument as above, $G-F$ has exactly one component. It follows that the block decomposition $(T, \mathcal{B})$ of $G-F$ has $T$ equal to a path. Let the blocks of the decomposition be $B_{0}, \ldots, B_{l}$ with $B_{i} \cap B_{i+1}=v_{i}$. Then we may assume that $s \in B_{0}$ and $t \in B_{l}$. Moreover, for all $i=0, \ldots, l-1$, it follows that $v_{i} \neq v_{i+1}$, and $s \neq v_{0}$ and $t \neq v_{l-1}$.

Now assume there exists a block $B_{i}$ which is non-trivial, i.e. not a single edge. Let $s^{\prime}=s$ if $i=0$, and $s^{\prime}=v_{i-1}$ otherwise. Similarly, let $t^{\prime}=t$ if $i=l$ and $t^{\prime}=v_{i}$ otherwise. Observe that any vertex $v$ of $B_{i}-\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}$ does not separate $s^{\prime}$ from $t^{\prime}$, and so, as above, $v$ cannot have more than $k$ neighbors in $F$, lest we contradict our choice of $F$. It follows that $G\left[B_{i}-\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}\right]$ has minimum degree at least $g(k)-k-1$. We assume that

$$
g(k)-k-1>4 f(k+1)^{2} .
$$

Then $G\left[B_{i}\right]-\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}$ contains an $f(k+1)$-connected subgraph $F^{\prime}$ with boundary at most $2 f(k+$ $1)^{2}$. Moreover, by the connectivity of $G$, there exist $f(k+1)$ vertices $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{f(k+1)} \in V\left(F^{\prime}\right)-$ $\partial_{G\left[B_{i}-\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}\right]}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ such that each has a distinct neighbor in $F$ (in the graph $G$ ).

Attempt to find a path from $s^{\prime}$ to $t^{\prime}$ in $G\left[B_{i}-V\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right]$. If such a path exists, then $F^{\prime}$ does not separate $s^{\prime}$ from $t^{\prime}$ in $G\left[B_{i}\right]$, and the subgraph induced by $V\left(F \cup F^{\prime}\right)$ contradicts our choice of $F$ to be as large as possible. It follows that $F^{\prime}$ does separate $s$ from $t$ in $G-F$. Let $\bar{P}$ be a path in $G\left[B_{i}\right]$ with ends $s^{\prime}$ and $t^{\prime}$. Let $\bar{s}$ be the vertex of $V(\bar{P}) \cap V\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ closest to $s^{\prime}$ on $\bar{P}$. Similarly, let $\bar{t}$ be the vertex of $V(\bar{P}) \cap V\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ closest to $t^{\prime}$ on $\bar{P}$. We define a new graph $\bar{F}$ with vertex set $V(\bar{F})$ equal to $V\left(F^{\prime}\right) \cup \bar{v}$ where $\bar{v}$ is a new vertex representing the subgraph $F$. The edge set of $\bar{F}$ is given by $E(\bar{F})=E\left(F^{\prime}\right) \cup\left\{\bar{v} u_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, f(k+1)\right\}$. Then $\bar{F}$ is an $f(k+1)$-connected graph, so by our assumption that $f$ is a function satisfying Conjecture 3.1, there exists a $(k+1)$-connected subgraph $H$ of $\bar{F}$ containing the vertex $\bar{v}$, and moreover, $F^{\prime}-H$ contains a path from $\bar{s}$ to $\bar{t}$. By construction, $H-\bar{v}$ is a $k$-connected subgraph of $G\left[B_{i}\right]$ that does not separate $s$ from $t$, and moreover, there exists a matching of size $k$ from $H-\bar{v}$ into the vertices of $F$. It follows that $G[V(F) \cup V(H)-\{\bar{v}\}]$ is a subgraph violating our choice of $F$ to be a maximum $k$-connected subgraph not separating $s$ from $t$. This contradicts our assumption that the block decomposition of $G-F$ contained a non-trivial block. It follows that $G-F$ is an induced $s-t$ path, completing the proof.

Conjecture 3.1 is closely related to the following strengthening of Conjecture 1.1 due to Thomassen.
Conjecture 3.3 (Thomassen, [15]) For every $l, t \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k=k(l, t) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k$-connected graphs $G$ and $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $|X| \leq t$, the vertex set of $G$ can be partitioned into non-empty sets $S$ and $T$ such that $X \subseteq S$, each vertex in $S$ has at least $l$ neighbors in $T$ and both $G[S]$ and $G[T]$ are l-connected subgraphs.

As the conjecture originally appeared, $t$ was assumed to be equal to $l$. We have introduced the additional parameter to discuss partial progress on the conjecture.

Observation 3.4 If $\forall l \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 2$ there exists a positive integer $k=k(l, t)$ satisfying Conjecture 3.3, then Conjecture 1.1 is true.

Proof. Let $l$ be any positive integer, $k=k(l, 2)$ be as in Conjecture 3.3, and let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph. Then there exists a partition $(A, B)$ of the vertices of $G$ such that $s, t \in A, G[A]$ and $G[B]$ are $l$-connected graphs, and, furthermore, every vertex of $A$ has at least $l$ neighbors in $B$. Then if $P$ is a path in $G[A]$ connecting $s$ and $t, G-V(P)$ is an $l$-connected graph. Thus $f(l)=k(l, 2)$ is a function satisfying Conjecture 1.1.

Kühn and Osthus [3] have proven Conjecture 3.3 is true when the integer $t$ is restricted to 0 . A consequence of Theorem 3.2 is the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5 If $\forall l \geq 0,0 \leq t \leq 1$ there exists a positive integer $k=k(l, t)$ satisfying Conjecture 3.3, then Conjecture 1.1 is true.

Proof. Let $l$ be a positive integer and let $k=k(l+2,1)$ be the value given by Conjecture 3.3. Then let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph, and let $v, s$, and $t$ be given as in Conjecture 3.1. Let $(A, B)$ be a partition of $V(G)$ such that $G[A]$ and $G[B]$ are $(l+2)$-connected, and furthermore, that $v \in A$. Then $G[A-\{s, t\}]$ is an $l$-connected subgraph containing $v$ that does not separate $s$ and $t$, as desired.
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